

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE ·	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,992	10/655,992 09/05/2003		Ronald P. Knockeart	2003P11511US/09650-005006 7531	
24500	75.90	09/24/2004		EXAMINER	
SIEMENS	-		NGUYEN, THU V		
INTELLECT		OPERTY LAW DEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ISELIN, NJ 08830			3661	•
				DATE MAILED: 09/24	1/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	10/655,992	KNOCKEART ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thu Nguyen	3661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 11 and 13-16 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11 and 13-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) accepted or b) objectoration of the drawing accepted in abeyance. See the drawing (s) is objection is required if the drawing (s) is objection in the drawing (s) is objective in the drawing (s) in the drawing (s) is objective in the drawing (s) in the drawing (s) is objective in the drawing (s) in the drawing (s) in the drawing (s) is objective in the drawing (s) in the drawing (s	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application In the second secon	on No ed in this National Stage					
	•						
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/05/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/655,992

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment filed on September 9, 2003 has been entered. By this amendment, claims 1-10, 12, 17-26 have been canceled, and claims 11, 13-16 are now pending in the application.

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karmel (US 6,353,743) in view of Ito (US 5,990,825).

As per claim 11, Karmel teaches a method for tracking a mobile object comprising: receiving a reference signal from satellite of a positioning system and computing position data related to the location of the mobile object using the reference signal (col.5, lines 14-17);

Application/Control Number: 10/655,992

Art Unit: 3661

transmitting the position data from the mobile object to a server (col.5, lines 18-19); at the mobile object, receiving the server position correction data and determining estimated coordinates of the vehicle by combining data computed from the received reference signal and the position correction data (col.5,lines 22-28). Karmel does not teach that the mobile object is a vehicle and computing the position data using the same received position correction data for an interval and not to use the received correction data at subsequent interval of time. However, determining position of a mobile object such as a navigation device, a cell phone, or a PDA, which is implemented on a vehicle would have been well known. Further, Ito suggests including the rate of the correction value RRC indicating range rate correction (col.4, lines 46-49; col.5, lines 3-5), since the correction occurs at certain range rate indicated by the RRC variable, when the vehicle is within the indicated range rate, the same correction value is obviously used in the time interval the vehicle is within the range rate, and when the vehicle is subsequently out of the range rate indicated by the RRC of Ito, the same correction value is obviously not used anymore, instead a new correction value will be used. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the range rate indication of Ito to the system of Karmel in order to facilitate updating correction value at appropriate range.

As per claim 13-14, Karmel teaches receiving signals from a plurality of satellites (col.5, lines 14-17); further, Ito teaches using range correction data PRC (col.4, line 28-29), moreover, using range measurement to each positioning satellite in determining position data, combining range correction data and range measurement data, combining signals by adding the uncorrected

Application/Control Number: 10/655,992

Art Unit: 3661

coordinates of the position data to the location correction data would have been well known in position determining using satellite signal.

As per claim 15-16, refer to claim 11 above. Further, as to claim 16, Karmel teaches a receiver 14 (fig.1) and a wireless communication interface 18 (fig.1).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-7687 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park V, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm ET.

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black, can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

THU V. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

September 14, 2004